

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

CHRIMAR SYSTEMS, INC, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AEROHIVE NETWORKS, INC.,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Case No. 6:15-CV-639-JRG


FINAL JUDGMENT

A jury trial regarding the claims by Plaintiff Chrimar Systems, Inc. against Defendant Aerohive Networks, Inc. and the defenses of Aerohive Networks, Inc. against such claims, commenced in this case on January 3, 2017. After four days in trial, the jury reached and returned its unanimous verdict on January 6, 2016 (Case No. 6:15-cv-618, Dkt. No. 782). The jury reached a verdict unanimously finding no infringement. Pursuant to Rule 58 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and in accordance with the jury’s verdict and the entirety of the record available to the Court, the Court hereby **ORDERS** and **ENTERS JUDGMENT** as follows:

1. Defendant Aerohive does not infringe Claims 35 and 43 of U.S. Patent No. 8,155,012; Claims 31 and 72 of U.S. Patent No. 8,902,760; Claims 111 and 123 of U.S. Patent No. 8,942,107; and Claims 2 and 26 of U.S. Patent No. 9,019,838 (collectively, “asserted claims”).
2. Aerohive is the prevailing party, and as the prevailing party, Aerohive shall recover its costs from Chrimar.

3. Any and all pending motions as between Chrimar and Aerohive not previously addressed by the Court are **DENIED**.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 9th day of January, 2017.



RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE